

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

**DIVISION TWO**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JORDAN EWELL JACKSON,

Defendant and Appellant.

E046629

(Super.Ct.No. SWF025459)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of Riverside County. Judith C. Clark, Judge.

Affirmed.

Peter Dodd, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

On July 10, 2008, pursuant to Penal Code section 1192.7,<sup>1</sup> defendant Jordan Ewell Jackson, represented by counsel, pled guilty and admitted the special allegations as

---

<sup>1</sup> All further statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise indicated.

charged in the information filed by the District Attorney of Riverside County on May 13, 2008. In accordance with the negotiated disposition, defendant was committed to state prison for 12 years and awarded the appropriate custody credits.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

The facts are taken from the preliminary hearing and examination conducted on May 1, 2008. On April 9, 2008, defendant, a convicted felon and armed with a “large caliber” handgun, walked into the Mexico Market. After a short period of time, defendant confronted the victim, the cashier. At that time, defendant demanded money from the victim but the victim called defendant’s “bluff.” Defendant then “kicked back the hammer” of the gun and put it to the victim’s head. The victim then gave the money to defendant.

Defendant appealed, and upon his request this court appointed counsel to represent him. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 [87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493] setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts, and potential arguable issues and requesting this court to undertake a review of the entire record.

We offered the defendant an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, which he has not done.

We have now concluded our independent review of the record and find no arguable issues.

**DISPOSITION**

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

RAMIREZ  
P.J.

We concur:

HOLLENHORST  
J.

McKINSTER  
J.